UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,164	07/23/2003	Eddie Reed	2002(227497)	8006
Ralph A. Loren	7590 02/22/201	EXAMINER		
Edwards Angel	l Palmer & Dodge	HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN		
P.O.Box 55874 Boston, MA 02			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/625,164	REED ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736		

	JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	he same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	.		,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).	wable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-32,34-38 and 42-47</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but o	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736	/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments positively recite a new scope of invention not previously and expressly considered in a patentability determination, raising new issues that require further search and/or consideration. The new scope of invention defined by the proposed amendments includes for example at least the inner tube and brush having "the same longitudinal axis" and the bristles of the brush are to "contact the vaginal wall and obtain the vaginal specimen".